# HTTP Version Translation of the Capsule Protocol

Ben Schwartz, Meta Kazuho Oku, Fastly

# **HTTP**/1.1 Upgrade ← Extended CONNECT

GET /foo HTTP/1.1

Connection: Upgrade

: Upgrade: connect-ip

•••



#### **HEADERS**

:method = CONNECT

::path = /foo

::protocol = connect-ip

•••

# HTTP/1.1 Upgrade vs. Extended CONNECT

GET /foo HTTP/1.1

Connection: Upgrade

: Upgrade: bar

• • •

**HEADERS** 

::method = CONNECT

::path = /foo

::protocol = bar

# Can an intermediary convert them?

GET /foo HTTP/1.1

Connection: Upgrade

: Upgrade: bar

• • •



#### **HEADERS**

:method = CONNECT

::path = /foo

::protocol = bar

# Can an intermediary convert them? NO

GET /foo HTTP/1.1

Connection: Upgrade

: Upgrade: bar

• • •



#### **HEADERS**

::method = CONNECT

::path = /foo

::protocol = bar

# Why not?

- Some existing Upgrade Tokens (HTTP/\*\*, TLS/\*\*, h2c) preserve the method.
- We haven't promised any restrictions on how future Upgrade Tokens might behave.

# What if the request uses the Capsule Protocol?

GET /foo HTTP/1.1

: Connection: Upgrade

: Upgrade: bar

Capsule-Protocol: ?1

•••



#### **HEADERS**

::method = CONNECT

::path = /foo

::protocol = bar

capsule-protocol = ?1

•••

### **Still No**

GET /foo HTTP/1.1

: Connection: Upgrade

: Upgrade: bar

Capsule-Protocol: ?1

•••



#### **HEADERS**

::method = CONNECT

::path = /foo

:protocol = bar

capsule-protocol = ?1

## Why not?

- Some existing Upgrade Tokens (HTTP/\*\*, TLS/\*\*, h2c) preserve the method.
- We haven't promised any restrictions on how future Upgrade Tokens might behave.
- RFC 9297 hasn't promised any restrictions on what future capsuleish protocols might do
  - (except that DATAGRAM capsules can always be converted to HTTP/3 DATAGRAM frames)

# This is annoying

- (Multi-version) intermediaries need to maintain a list of all Capsule Protocol Upgrade Tokens (CPUTs).
- New CPUTs won't work correctly until intermediaries are updated.
  - Even when intermediaries aren't being asked to do anything interesting.
- Increased uncertainty for designers of new CPUTs.
- Strong temptation to run everything over WebSocket or something.

# Can we fix it?

# YES!

# **Proposal**

- Declare that Capsule-Protocol requests can be translated freely between HTTP versions in the obvious way, even when the CPUT is unrecognized.
- Accept various implications for our future selves:
  - All future CPUTs are stuck with the GET/CONNECT method.
  - The "Capsule-Protocol" response header has no effect.
  - CPUTs cannot change intermediaries' treatment of capsule types.
  - CPUTs cannot change the meaning of an HTTP extension.
  - Capsule Protocol endpoints must be semantically version-independent.
  - CPUTs that really care about version translation will have to change "SHOULD send" to "MUST".
  - A "Capsule-Protocol: ?0" request header cannot be used to disable HTTP version translation.

# **Questions and Quandaries**

- What does this mean for WebTransport?
  - We would need to include an exemption for "webtransport" in the definition of SETTINGS\_WT\_MAX\_SESSIONS.
- Alternate spellings
  - Capsule-Protocol: ?1; convertible
  - Version-Independent-Upgrade: ?1