HTTP/QUIC
Cross-Pollination
HTTP/QUIC Charter

QUIC WG is chartered to

...describe mappings between... HTTP/2 semantics using QUIC, specifically with the goal of minimizing web latency using QUIC. This mapping will accommodate the extension mechanisms defined in the HTTP/2 specification.

Along the way, HTTP/QUIC has:

- adopted changes which might be beneficial to HTTP/2
- rejected some work as belonging to HTTPbis instead of QUIC
ATTACK OF THE ZOMBIE STREAMS
The HTTP/2 method has serious drawbacks...

• Inconsistent client/server views of priority tree if server prunes dead streams

• Unbounded server state commitment if it doesn’t

• Streams can’t be implicitly closed in QUIC
HTTP/QUIC has introduced Placeholders

- Server setting decides how many placeholders client is allowed to use
- PRIORITY frame indicates type of prioritized element and type of dependency
  - Request
  - Push
  - Placeholder
  - Root of tree
    - (0 is a valid request stream in QUIC)
- Permits more aggressive pruning
Aggressive Pruning
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Inactive = closed >1 RTT ago
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What do we want in HTTP/2?

**Leave** priorities alone – let HTTP/QUIC be different

**Adopt** HTTP/QUIC scheme as an extension to HTTP/2

**Define** something better in a hurry and ask HTTP/QUIC to adopt it
- We do know some of these folks....

**Diverge** further by defining something better more slowly
- Recommend an extension to HTTP/QUIC later
ALTSVC and ORIGIN

RFC 7838 defines Alternative Services
- Header for any HTTP version
- Frame for HTTP/2

RFC 8336 defines ORIGIN frame

HTTP/QUIC is not porting all existing HTTP/2 extensions
- Ensuring that equivalent extension mechanisms exist
- Recommending that code points be assigned so as not to conflict with HTTP/2 uses

There is a draft (albeit expired) for ALTSVC
Nothing yet for ORIGIN, but it would be super simple.
GREASE in HTTP/2

Inspired by TLS 1.3, QUIC has followed the idea of greasing where possible

- Enforce “Ignore what you don’t understand and keep going” by occasionally sending nonsense
- Avoid catastrophe by reserving some values specifically for use as nonsense

HTTP/QUIC greases:

- Frame types: all types \(0xb + (0x1f \times N)\) are reserved
- Settings: all settings \(0x?a?a\) are reserved

Recommends use of GREASE frame types for padding

Should HTTP/2 reserve these code points as well?